arXiv:1702.04799v1 [math.CO] 15 Feb 2017

A result in asymmetric Euclidean Ramsey theory

Andrii Arman* Sergei Tsaturian†

15 February 2017

Abstract

It is proved that if the points of the three-dimensional Euclidean space are coloured in red and blue, then there exist either two red points unit distance apart, or six collinear blue points with distance one between any two consecutive points.

1 Introduction

The area of Euclidean Ramsey theory is mostly concerned with colouring points of an Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$ and looking for a geometric graph whose points are monochromatic. Research in this area was surveyed in [1–3] by Erdős, Graham, Montgomery, Rothschild, Spencer, and Straus.

Asymmetric Euclidean Ramsey theory deals with the questions of the following type. If F_1 and F_2 are two different configurations of points in an Euclidean space, is it true that for any colouring of the points of an Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$ in red and blue, there always exists either a congruent copy of F_1 with all of the vertices red, or a congruent copy of F_2 with all of the vertices blue? In the case of affirmative answer, the "Ramsey arrow" notation is used:

$$\mathbb{E}^n \to (F_1, F_2).$$

The results of Erdős et al. [1–3] include:

- If T_i is a configuration of i points, then $\mathbb{E}^2 \to (T_2, T_3)$;
- If T denotes the isosceles right triangle with unit catheti (legs) and Q^2 denotes the unit square, then $\mathbb{E}^3 \to (T, Q^2)$;
- Let ℓ_i denote the configuration of i collinear points with distance 1 between any two consecutive points. Then $\mathbb{E}^2 \to (\ell_2, \ell_4)$ and $\mathbb{E}^4 \to (\ell_2, \ell_5)$.

*University of Manitoba, armana@myumanitoba.ca
†University of Manitoba, tsaturis@cc.umanitoba.ca

Juhász [6] proved that if T_4 is any configuration of 4 points, then $\mathbb{E}^2 \to (\ell_2, T_4)$. Juhász (personal communication, 10 February, 2017) also informed us that Iván's master thesis [5] contains a proof that for any configuration T_5 of 5 points, $\mathbb{E}^3 \to (\ell_2, T_5)$, but this result was never published.

It was asked by Erdős et al. [2] whether $\mathbb{E}^3 \to (\ell_2, \ell_5)$. The result of Iván [5] implies the positive answer to this question. We present a simple proof of $\mathbb{E}^3 \to (\ell_2, \ell_5)$ and prove a stronger result, namely that $\mathbb{E}^3 \to (\ell_2, \ell_6)$.
For an overview of other results in Euclidean Ramsey theory, see Graham's survey [4].

2 Existence of a blue ℓ_5

Theorem 2.1. *Let the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. Then there exist five blue points that form an ℓ_5.*

To prove Theorem 2.1, it is first proved (in the following two lemmas) that if there is a colouring that forbids red ℓ_2 and blue ℓ_5, there are no two red points distance 2 or $\sqrt{7}$ apart.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. If there are no five blue points forming an ℓ_5, then there are no two red points distance 2 apart.*

Proof. Assume that points A and B are red and $|AB| = 2$. Choose a rectangular coordinate system centered at A so that B has coordinates $(2, 0, 0)$. Then any point at distance 1 to A is blue, otherwise there are two red points distance 1 apart. In particular, the circles $\{(-\frac{1}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ and $\{(\frac{1}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ are blue (see Figure 1). Similarly, since any point at distance 1 to B is blue, the circles $\{(\frac{3}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ and $\{(\frac{5}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ are blue. Then, for any point P_1 on the first circle, the line ℓ through P_1 parallel to AB intersects the other three circles at some points P_2, P_3, P_4 that together with P_1 form a blue ℓ_4. Therefore the point P_5 of intersection of ℓ with the circle $C = \{(\frac{7}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ is red (otherwise P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5 form a blue ℓ_5). Since P_1 can be any point on $\{(-\frac{1}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$, the whole circle C is red. Since the radius of C is $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, C contains two red points distance 1 apart, which contradicts the assumptions of the lemma. □



Figure 1

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. If there are no five blue points forming an ℓ_5, then there are no two red points distance $\sqrt{7}$ apart.*

Proof. Assume that points A and B are red and $|AB| = \sqrt{7}$. Choose a rectangular coordinate system so that A has coordinates $(-\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}, 0, 0)$ and B has coordinates $(\frac{\sqrt{7}}{2}, 0, 0)$. Since A and B are $\sqrt{7}$ apart, there exist points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, all collinear, such that $|P_1P_2| = |P_2P_3| = |P_3P_4| = 1$ and the triangles AP_1P_2 and BP_3P_4 are equilateral (see Figure 2). Let P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 have coordinates $P_1(-\frac{3}{\sqrt{7}}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{7}}, 0)$, $P_2(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{7}}, 0)$, $P_3(\frac{1}{\sqrt{7}}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{7}}, 0)$, $P_4(\frac{3}{\sqrt{7}}, -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{7}}, 0))$. Then P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 form a blue ℓ_4, therefore the point $P_5(\frac{5}{\sqrt{7}}, -\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{7}}, 0)$ is red. When the line $P_1P_2P_3P_4$ is rotated about AB, points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 span four blue circles (since every point on the circles is at distance 1 to either A or B), therefore P_5 (when rotated) spans a red circle with radius $\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{7}} > 1$ that contains two red points distance 1 apart. □



Figure 2

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue in a way that there is no red ℓ_2 or blue ℓ_5. Consider any red point A and a rectangular coordinate system centered at A. By Lemma 2.2, any point at distance 2 to A is blue, in

particular, the circles $\{(1, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ and $\{(-1, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ are blue (see Figure 3). Similarly, by Lemma 2.3, the circles $\{(2, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ and $\{(-2, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ are blue. Consider any point P_3 on the circle $\{(0, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$. The line through P_3 parallel to $y = z = 0$ intersects the four blue circles at points P_1, P_2, P_4 and P_5 that together with P_3 form an ℓ_5. Since P_1, P_2, P_4, P_5 are blue, P_3 is red. Therefore, the circle $\{(0, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ is red and has radius $\sqrt{3}$, and so this circle contains two red points distance 1 apart. □



Figure 3

3 Existence of a blue ℓ_6

Theorem 3.1. *Let the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. Then there exist six blue points that form an ℓ_6.*

For the sake of contradiction, it is assumed that there exists a colouring of $\mathbb{E}^3$ in red and blue without red ℓ_2 and blue ℓ_6. The following four lemmas are needed.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. If there are no six blue points forming an ℓ_6, then there is no disk with radius $\sqrt{3}$, such that all of its points (including interior) are blue.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a blue disk D with center O and radius $\sqrt{3}$. Consider a rectangular coordinate system on the plane containing D, centered at O (then $D = \{x^2 + y^2 \leq 3, x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$). Let P_4 be any point on the boundary of D (for simplicity, let the coordinates of P_4 be $(\sqrt{3}, 0)$. Then points P_4, $P_3(\sqrt{3} - 1, 0)$, $P_2(\sqrt{3} - 2, 0)$, $P_1(\sqrt{3} - 3, 0)$ belong D, and therefore are blue (see Figure 4a). Consider points $P_5(\sqrt{3} + 1, 0)$, $P_6(\sqrt{3} + 2, 0)$, and a point A (say, $(\sqrt{3} + \frac{3}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$) at distance 1 to both P_5 and P_6. If A is red, then both P_5 and

P_6 are blue and $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6$ form a blue ℓ_6. Therefore A is blue. When the point P_4 is rotated around the center, the point A (when rotated) spans a blue circle C with radius $\sqrt{(\sqrt{3}+\frac{3}{2})^2+(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})^2} = \sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}}$.

Consider any point Q_6 on C (for simplicity, let the coordinates of Q_6 be $(\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}}, 0)$; see Figure 4b). Since $-\sqrt{3} < \sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}} - 5$ and $\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}} - 2 < \sqrt{3}$, points $Q_4(\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}} - 2, 0)$, $Q_3(\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}} - 3, 0)$, $Q_2(\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}} - 4, 0)$, $Q_1(\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}} - 5, 0)$ are all inside D, therefore blue. Then the point $Q_5(\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}}-1, 0)$ is red (otherwise $Q_1Q_2Q_3Q_4Q_5Q_6$ is a blue ℓ_6). If the point Q_6 is chosen arbitrarily on C, Q_5 spans a red circle with radius $\sqrt{6+3\sqrt{3}}-1 > 1$, that contains two red points distance 1 apart. □



(a) (b)

Figure 4

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. If there are no six blue points forming an ℓ_6, then there are no two red points distance 2 apart.*

Proof. The setup is the same as in Lemma 2.2. Assume that points A and B are red and $|AB| = 2$. Choose a rectangular coordinate system centered at A so that B has coordinates $(2, 0, 0)$. Then the circles $\{(-\frac{1}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$, $\{(\frac{1}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$, $\{(\frac{3}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ and $\{(\frac{5}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ are blue. Consider any line ℓ parallel to AB that intersects all the blue circles (for simplicity, let $\ell = \{(x, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0), x \in \mathbb{R}\}$), and let P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 be the points of intersection (see Figure 5, in this case $P_1(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_2(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_3(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_4(\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$). Let X be any point on the circle C with radius $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ centered at the point on ℓ with x-coordinate 4 (in Figure 5, the center has coordinates $(4, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$). If X is red, then the points P_5 and P_6 on ℓ with x-coordinates $\frac{7}{2}$ and $\frac{9}{2}$ (on the Figure 5, $P_5(\frac{7}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_6(\frac{9}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$) are both

at distance 1 to X. Then P_5 and P_6 are blue, and $P_1P_2P_3P_4P_5P_6$ is a blue ℓ_6. Therefore, X is blue, hence the circle C is blue. When ℓ is rotated around AB, the circle C spans a blue disk with radius $\sqrt{3}$, which contradicts the statement of Lemma 3.2. □



Figure 5

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. If there are no six blue points forming an ℓ_6, then there are no two red points distance 4 apart.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.3. Assume that points A and B are red and $|AB| = 4$. Choose a rectangular coordinate system centered at A so that B has coordinates $(4, 0, 0)$. Then the circles $\{(-\frac{1}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$, $\{(\frac{1}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$, $\{(\frac{7}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ and $\{(\frac{9}{2}, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = \frac{3}{4}\}$ are blue. Consider any line ℓ parallel to AB that intersects all the blue circles (for simplicity, let $\ell = \{(x, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$), and let P_1, P_2, P_5, P_6 be the points of intersection (see Figure 6, in this case $P_1(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_2(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_5(\frac{7}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_6(\frac{9}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$). Let X be any point on the circle C with radius $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ centered at the point on ℓ with x-coordinate 2 (on the Figure 6, the center has coordinates $(2, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$). If X is red, then the points P_3 and P_4 on ℓ with x-coordinates $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{5}{2}$ (on the Figure 6 $P_3(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$, $P_4(\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0)$) are both at distance 1 to X. Then P_3 and P_4 are blue, and $P_1P_2P_3P_4P_5P_6$ is a blue ℓ_6. Therefore, X is blue, hence the circle C is blue. When ℓ is rotated around AB, the circle C spans a blue disk with radius $\sqrt{3}$, which contradicts the statement of Lemma 3.2. □



Figure 6

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathbb{E}^3$ be coloured in red and blue so that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. If there are no six blue points forming an ℓ_6, then there are no two red points distance 3 apart.*

Proof. Assume that points A and B are red and $|AB| = 3$. Choose a rectangular coordinate system so that A has coordinates $(1, 0, 0)$ and B has coordinates $(4, 0, 0)$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, any point at distance 2 to A is blue; in particular, the circles $\{(0, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ and $\{(2, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ are blue. By the same argument, circles $\{(3, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ and $\{(5, y, z) : y^2 + z^2 = 3\}$ are blue, since their points are at distance 2 from B. Consider any line ℓ parallel to AB that intersects all the blue circles (for simplicity, let $\ell = \{(x, -\sqrt{3}, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$), and let P_1, P_3, P_4, P_6 be the points of intersection (see Figure 7, in this case $P_1(0, -\sqrt{3}, 0)$, $P_3(2, -\sqrt{3}, 0)$, $P_4(3, -\sqrt{3}, 0)$, $P_6(5, -\sqrt{3}, 0)$). Let X be any point on the circle C with radius $\frac{\sqrt{55}}{2}$ centered at the point on ℓ with x-coordinate $\frac{7}{2}$ (on the Figure 7, the center has coordinates $(\frac{7}{2}, -\sqrt{3}, 0)$). If X is red, then the points P_2 and P_5 on ℓ with x-coordinates 1 and 4 (on the Figure 7, $P_2(1, -\sqrt{3}, 0)$, $P_5(4, -\sqrt{3}, 0)$) are both at distance 4 to X. Then,by Lemma 3.4, P_2 and P_5 are blue, and $P_1P_2P_3P_4P_5P_6$ is a blue ℓ_6. Therefore, X is blue, hence the circle C is blue. When ℓ is rotated around AB, the circle C spans the blue annulus bounded by circles of radii $\frac{\sqrt{55}}{2} + \sqrt{3}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{55}}{2} - \sqrt{3}$, which contains a blue disk of radius $\sqrt{3}$. By Lemma 3.2, this leads to a contradiction with the fact that there are no two red points distance 1 apart. □



Figure 7

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a unit triangular lattice on a plane. Let points of $\mathcal{L}$ be coloured in red and blue so that there is no red ℓ_2 and no blue ℓ_6. If $\mathcal{L}$ contains two red points distance $\sqrt{3}$ apart, then $\mathcal{L}$ does not contain a blue ℓ_5.*

Proof. Let A_1 and A_2 be two red nodes of $\mathcal{L}$ distance $\sqrt{3}$ apart. First, it is proved that the node A_3 symmetric to A_1 in A_2 is also red. Consider the part of $\mathcal{L}$ depicted in Figure 8a. Points Q_3 and Q_6 are at distance 3 from A_1, and therefore are blue by Lemma 3.5. Points Q_4 and Q_5 are at distance 1 from A_2, therefore blue. Then point P_1 is blue; otherwise points Q_1 and Q_2 are both blue and form a blue ℓ_6 with points Q_3, Q_4, Q_5, Q_6. Points P_2 and P_6 are at distance 4 from A_1, therefore are blue by Lemma 3.4. Points P_3 and P_5 are at distance 2 from A_2, therefore are blue by Lemma 3.3. Then the point A_3 has to be red in order to prevent the blue $P_1P_2P_3A_3P_5P_6$.
Using the same argument, it can be proved that the node A_4 symmetric to A_2 in A_3 is red, and similarly for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a point on the line A_1A_2 at distance $k\sqrt{3}$ from A_1 is red.
Consider five consecutive red nodes A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 on the line A_1A_2 and the part of the lattice depicted in Figure 8b. By Lemmas 3.3, 3.5, 3.4, the points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 are blue, since they are at distance 1, 2, 3 and 4 from A_1, respectively. By Lemma 3.5, the point P_6 is blue (since it is 3 apart from A_4).

Then point P_5 is red (otherwise P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_6 form a blue ℓ_6). Similarly, the points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_6 are blue, hence Q_5 is red. Then P_5 and Q_5 are two red nodes distance $\sqrt{3}$ apart, which forces all the nodes of $\mathcal{L}$ on the line P_5Q_5 to be red.



(a) (b)

Figure 8

If the colouring is expanded further in a similar way, the plane will be coloured in the unique way, which is shown in Figure 9. Every ℓ_5 that belongs to $\mathcal{L}$ contains a red point, therefore the colouring does not contain a blue ℓ_5. □



Figure 9

Proof of the Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}^3$ is coloured in two colours so that there is no red ℓ_2 and no blue ℓ_6. By Theorem 2.1, there is a blue ℓ_5, say, $X_1X_2X_3X_4X_5$. Consider any unit triangular lattice $\mathcal{L}$ such that X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5 are nodes of $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ does not contain a blue ℓ_6, there is a red node A in $\mathcal{L}$. Consider the part of $\mathcal{L}$ depicted in Figure 10. The points P_2 and P_3 are blue, since they are distance 1 apart from A. Since $\mathcal{L}$ contains a blue ℓ_5, by Lemma 3.6, there are no two red nodes of $\mathcal{L}$ distance $\sqrt{3}$ apart, therefore points P_1 and P_4 are blue. Then points B and C can not be both blue (otherwise a blue ℓ_6 is formed), therefore one of them, say, B, is red. Then points Q_5 and Q_6 are at distance 1 and $\sqrt{3}$ from B, hence blue. The points Q_3, Q_2, Q_1 are distance 1, 1, $\sqrt{3}$ apart from A, respectively, therefore blue. Hence, the points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, P_1, Q_5, Q_6 form a blue ℓ_6, which contradicts the initial assumption. □



Figure 10

4 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ron Graham and Rozália Juhász for providing information about the current state of the problem.

References

[1] P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, P. Montgomery, B. L. Rothschild, J. Spencer, and E. G. Straus, Euclidean Ramsey theorems. I, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **14** (1973), 341–363.

[2] P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, P. Montgomery, B. L. Rothschild, J. Spencer, and E. G. Straus, Euclidean Ramsey theorems. II, *Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vol. I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975, pp. 529–557. Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 10.

[3] P. Erdős, R. L. Graham, P. Montgomery, B. L. Rothschild, J. Spencer, and E. G. Straus, Euclidean Ramsey theorems. III, *Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vol. I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975, pp. 559–583. Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 10.

[4] R. L. Graham, Euclidean Ramsey theory, in *Handbook of discrete and computational geometry* (J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, Eds.), 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.

[5] L. Iván, *Monochromatic point sets in the plane and in the space*, 1979. Masters Thesis, University of Szeged, Bolyai Institute (in Hungarian).

[6] R. Juhász, Ramsey type theorems in the plane, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **27** (1979), 152–160.